

Part I

Main author: Chris Carter

Executive Member: Councillor Perkins

WELWYN HATFIELD BOROUGH COUNCIL  
DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE  
REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR (PUBLIC PROTECTION, PLANNING AND  
GOVERNANCE)

PLANNING UPDATE – FUTURE PLANNING APPLICATIONS

**1**     **Introduction**

- 1.1     This report is for the Development Management Committee to provide a summary of applications that might be presented to Committee over the coming months. If the call-in or application is withdrawn, the item will not be presented.
- 1.2     The applications should not be debated as part of this agenda, however any Councillor wishing to raise specific points about the proposal – such as a need for planning obligations or issue(s) that might not readily be apparent from the proposal or any other matter, may do so and the case officer will consider, where they are planning considerations, these matters raised as part of the future Committee report.
- 1.3     Appendix 1 comprises all applications that have been called-in or objected to by Town or Parish Councils. Appendix 2 comprises those that are a departure from the Local Plan, Officers consider should be determined by Development Management Committee, the applicant is the Borough Council or it has an interest in the land and an objection has been received.

**2**     **Recommendation**

- 2.1     That members note this report.

Name of author  
Title

Chris Carter x2298  
Interim Development Management Service Manager

## Appendix 1 - Applications called-in or objected to

### 6/2016/0270/VAR

Address	Four Oaks 1-4 Great North Road Welwyn AL6 0PL
Proposal	Variation of conditions 1 (occupants) and 2 (number of caravans) of Planning Permission N6/2010/0211/S73B to increase the number of caravans from 10 to 20 of which no more than 5 shall be static caravans or mobile homes.
Applicant	Mr J Connors
Ward	Welwyn West
Agent	Mr M Green
Call-In/Objection from	Councillor Julie Cragg, Welwyn Hatfield Borough Council
Reason for Committee Decision	<p>Please can we call this in due to the fact that this raises a lot of issues of concern for the residents.</p> <p>There is uneasiness about the fact that the number of caravans fluctuates wildly and that they residents do not appear to adhere to the planning that they do have.</p> <p>The restrictions regarding children is there as this was not intended to be a permanent site but only to give stability to the children to enable them to attend school.</p> <p>Are they planning to use caravans as an office suite and run business's from there? [sic]</p>
Call-In/Objection from	Caroline Williams, Welwyn Parish Council
Reason for Committee Decision	<p>15/03/2016 21:43 - Welwyn Parish Council at its Planning &amp; Licensing Committee of the 15 March 2016 agreed to submit Major Objection. We are unclear why the existing conditions have not been enforced. We understand that the site was permitted as a temporary location linked to the schooling of the children which have now grown up and not as a permanent site. We are concerned that the number of caravans has continued to increase overtime both with, and without, permission and Welwyn Hatfield Borough Council have previously stated that 10 is the maximum that can be accommodated. The existing conditions include that the land should be restored in accordance with the scheme previously submitted and approved by the planning authority as the residents may have already changed.</p>
Case Officer	Mrs June Pagdin

### 6/2016/0900/FULL

Address	111 The Ryde, Hatfield, AL9 5DP
Proposal	Change of use to Sui-Generis Large House in Multiple Occupation (HMO) for up to 9 occupants
Applicant	Mr I Kabala

Ward	Hatfield East
Agent	Planmore Design Architecture
Call-In/Objection from	Carrie Lloyd, Hatfield Town Council
Reason for Committee Decision	There is insufficient parking for this use, the development is cramped, insufficient welfare amenities for the number of occupants and this Council supports the Borough's Corporate Property Department in their objection regarding the covenants in place. Approving this application would set a bad precedent for HMO's in this area.
Case Officer	Mrs June Pagdin

#### 6/2016/1375/FULL

Address	51 Roe Green Close, Hatfield, AL10 9PF
Proposal	Erection of chalet type bungalow
Applicant	Mr A Miller
Ward	Hatfield South West
Agent	Mr C Bailey
Call-In/Objection from	Carrie Lloyd, Hatfield Town Council
Reason for Committee Decision	Further to our objection the details are; The committee raises objections to this planning application regarding proximity to chalk mines, vehicular access, refuse and emergency vehicle access and the number local residents objections for such an isolated area.
Case Officer	Mrs June Pagdin

#### 6/2016/1493/VAR

Address	Thunderbridge Yard Bulls Lane Hatfield AL9 7BB
Proposal	Variation of condition 1 to make the temporary permission permanent; condition 3 to permit eight caravans of which no more than five would be static caravans; condition 4 to vary the approved drawings; and condition 5 to vary the site development scheme; of planning permission S6/2011/0116/FP
Applicant	Mr J Robb
Ward	Welham Green & Hatfield South
Agent	Mrs A Heine
Call-In/Objection from	Councillor Paul Zukowskyj, Welwyn Hatfield Borough Council
Reason for Committee Decision	I would like to formally 'call in ' this applicaiton as it meets at least two of the key criteria for a call in, namely 1. The application is of an unusually sensitive nature as the current use of the site has led to significant adverse impact on neighbours amenity and that development beyond that permitted had occurred at the site. 2. The wider ramifications are the potential impact

of permitting this development may have on setting precedent for permitting neighbouring sites in the forthcoming local plan examination in public. There is also the precedent that would be set of permitting such developments, even for a limited period, in flood zones.

Call-In/Objection from Sue Chudleigh, North Mymms Parish Council

Reason for Committee Decision NMPC OBJECT as this is clearly a new application. The name of the applicants is not the name to whom the extant Permission was originally given.

The Government Planning Policy for traveller sites Policy E, in its introduction, states that making and decision taking should protect the Green Belt from inappropriate development - Item 4d and Item 16 state that inappropriate development is harmful to the Green Belt except in exceptional circumstances. None have been indicated.

Item 4f - further states the local planning authority should aim to reduce the number of unauthorised developments and encampments. This site has consistently exceeded the numbers stated in the original Permission and it is too small a site to be abused in this way.

Item 4k - states the local planning authority have to have due regard to the protection of local amenities and local environment. There have also been sanitary issues arising from the over-use of the site. One or more travelling caravans have also been let to itinerant workers in contravention to the terms of the licence. This has caused considerable problems to the neighbourhood.

Item 13 of the Planning Policy for traveller sites states the local planning authority should ensure that their policies:

- a) promote peaceful and integrated co-existence between the site and the local community
- f) avoid placing undue pressure on local infrastructure and services
- g) do not locate sites in areas at high risk of flooding, including functional floodplains, given the particular vulnerability of caravans

Policy E, Item 24 addresses local provision and it is the case that WHBC do not have a firm policy on Gypsy and Traveller sites in the Borough but there are existing sites in Welham Green – including a long term Showmans site which causes no trouble – however the Foxes Lane site also is also over used as the numbers of caravans exceeds the permitted numbers. Item 27 states that if a local planning authority cannot demonstrate an up to date 5 year supply of deliverable sites this should be a material consideration and in this case the existing sites result in over supply in Welham Green therefore any expansion is unwarranted.

Item 25 states that the local planning authority should very strictly limit traveller site development in the Green Belt and further ....”sites in rural areas do not dominate the nearest settled community.” Expansion of this site would cause stress on services and neighbours.

Item 16 Inappropriate development is harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved, except in very special circumstances. Traveller sites (temporary or permanent) in the Green Belt are inappropriate development. Subject to the best interests of the child, personal circumstances and unmet need are unlikely to clearly outweigh harm to the Green Belt and any other harm so as to establish very special circumstances, none of which have been indicated in this case.

Item 24(a) The Local Planning Authority has to take into account the existing level of local provision and Welham Green currently has provision. Indeed, even this is exceeded unlawfully – see report from Dennis Pennyfather, Licensing Technical Officer, dated 24 August 2016.

Item 25 This further states the Local Planning Authority should very strictly limit .... sites in rural areas should not dominate the nearest settled community. Of late the activities at Thunderbridge Yard have caused extreme concern and disturbance to the neighbourhood. Police and other authorities are aware of this, which seems to arise from the subletting of the excessive on-site accommodation to persons other than gypsies or travellers.

Footnote 9 States there is no presumption that a temporary grant of Planning Permission should be granted permanently, therefore North Mymms Parish Council consider, taking into account comments by Licensing Technical Officer that the site is not big enough, there are fire safety issues and this is a known flood plain with previous flooding issues, caravans on this Green Belt site are inappropriate development.

Case Officer Mrs June Pagdin

#### 6/2017/0225/FULL

Address	Welwyn Rugby Football Club Hobbs Way Welwyn Garden City AL8 6HX
Proposal	Retention of 4x 15 metre high floodlight columns and lamps measuring a further 0.5 metres
Applicant	Mr M Elliott
Ward	Handside
Agent	Mr M Elliott
Call-In/Objection from	Councillor Helen Bromley, Welwyn Hatfield Borough Council
Reason for Committee Decision	I would like to call this in as the new floodlights are significantly higher and brighter than those which they replaced, by some 7 meters, and they are also in different positions to the original lights. This has caused significant harm to the residents and the general amenity of

the area.  
 The original application did not mention the floodlights being in different positions.  
 This is impacting on the conservation and EMS area.  
 There is substantial visual intrusion day and night.  
 At night, the light spill is far worse than previously, despite the rugby club saying it would be less. This is not the case.  
 The club also seems to be contravening the permission given as to when they may be used.

Case Officer Ms Rachael Collard

**6/2017/0513/FULL**

Address 5 West View Hatfield AL10 0PJ  
 Proposal Change of use from sui-generis (Large HMO for up to 8 people) to a large HMO for up to 7 people and 6 self-contained units for up to 12 people and erection of laundry area and awning over patio  
 Applicant Ms D Law  
 Ward Hatfield Cent.  
 Agent Ms D Law  
 Call-In/Objection from Carrie Lloyd, Hatfield Town Council  
 Reason for Committee Decision The Committee objected to this application due to the fact the Hatfield Article 4 Direction desperately needs reviewing and this has been recognised by the LPA. Until such time that this has been carried out and bearing in mind that the overall concentration of HMOs in Hatfield Town Council's area needs to be reduced, no further HMOs should be permitted within the boundaries of Hatfield Town Council's area hence forth. This particular application lacks sufficient communal space and we suggest fails to meet the legal requirements for HMO's.  
 Case Officer Mr Mark Peacock

**6/2017/0606/MAJ**

Address 1-9 Town Centre Hatfield AL10 0JZ  
 Proposal Erection of 2 buildings to provide 1,194m2 (GEA) commercial floor space (A1 & A3), 70 flats (15 x 1 bedroom, 7 x 2 bedroom, three person and 48 x 2 bedroom, four person) with associated car/bicycle parking, plant and refuse storage and including the improvement of public realm, following the demolition of existing buildings.  
 Applicant Mr P Brimley  
 Ward Hatfield Cent.  
 Agent Mr P Wellings-Longmore  
 Call-In/Objection from Carrie Lloyd, Hatfield Town Council

Reason for Committee Decision	Object: The Town Council is concerned at the impact of parking from new residential units on the retail parking spaces available. The Council has repeatedly asked that design of new structures in the Town is sympathetic to existing Hatfield designs but again we see another architect imposing their design on our Town making it an uncoordinated mismatch of urban design from different decades with no empathy to the historic nature of the Town. Members are concerned at the impact of the level 7 building on the Grade 1 listed building of Hatfield House. Members consider that a community facility needs to be included within the design.
Case Officer	Mr Mark Peacock

**6/2017/1242/FULL**

Address	2 St Albans Road East Hatfield AL10 0HE
Proposal	Conversion of single dwelling into 5 self contained units.
Applicant	Ms M Lister
Ward	Hatfield East
Agent	Mr M Bryant
Call-In/Objection from	Carrie Lloyd, Hatfield Town Council
Reason for Committee Decision	30/06/2017 16:37 - The Committee object to this application due to the fact the Hatfield Article 4 Direction desperately needs reviewing and this has been recognised by the LPA. Until such time that this has been carried out and bearing in mind that the overall concentration of HMOs in Hatfield Town Council's area needs to be reduced, no further HMOs should be permitted within the boundaries of Hatfield Town Council's area hence forth. Whilst this application provides self contained units, it is still going to have the same effect as an HMO including the parking issues and negative effects on local residents.
Case Officer	Mr David Elmore

**6/2017/1575/HOUSE**

Address	6 Errington Close Hatfield AL10 9AU
Proposal	Conversion of garage to habitable room with installation of window to rear elevation
Applicant	Helene
Ward	Hatfield Villages
Agent	Mr A Feasey
Call-In/Objection from	Carrie Lloyd, Hatfield Town Council
Reason for Committee Decision	14/08/2017 14:49 - Members object to the loss of a parking space in this part of Hatfield where parking is at a premium.  Further the Hatfield Article 4 Direction desperately needs reviewing and this

has been recognised by the LPA. Until such time that this has been carried out and bearing in mind that the overall concentration of HMOs in Hatfield Town Council's area needs to be reduced, no further HMOs should be permitted within the boundaries of Hatfield Town Council's area hence forth, permitting this garage to be converted will further exacerbate the increase in student/HMO accommodation in Hatfield.

Case Officer Mr David Elmore

**6/2017/1617/FULL**

Address 20 Upland Drive Brookmans Park Hatfield AL9 6PT

Proposal Erection of 2 x 5-bedroom dwellings with private rear garden and front driveways, following demolition of existing dwelling.

Applicant Mr G Shiells

Ward Brookmans Park & Little Heath

Agent Mr P Cramphorn

Call-In/Objection from Councillor Stephen Boulton, Welwyn Hatfield Borough Council

Reason for Committee Decision I have not called in the previous one at 30 Upland but this at 16 follows immediately the application for 20 Upland and three applications for two large detached houses on each plot previously having one in a matter of weeks seems to be detrimental to the amenity of existing houses in the road.

I know that each one needs to be dealt with individually but in this case the six proposed houses in these three applications look excessive. Added to this is the real concerns of the rest of the residents in the road.

Therefore if the officers are not minded to refuse the application I would like to call in 20 and 16. Thanks.

Stephen

Case Officer Mrs June Pagdin

**6/2017/1903/FULL**

Address 36 Salisbury Square Hatfield AL9 5AF

Proposal Erection of 4 front, 4 rear and 2 side dormer windows, 5 front and 5 rear rooflights to facilitate conversion of roof, to include the creation of 2 x 1-bedroom and 2 x 2-bedroom flats with associated parking, cycle store and landscaping

Applicant Templewood Securities LTD

Ward Hatfield East

Agent Mrs V Davies

Call-In/Objection Carrie Lloyd, Hatfield Town Council



from

Reason for Committee Decision 16/10/2017 16:08 - Members were not aware that the Job Centre in Hatfield was targeted for closure. They do not see in the application details of where it will be relocated.  
They wish to raise concerns regarding parking, loss of more commercial space in Old Hatfield to residential units and lack of any amenity area for the new residential units.

Case Officer Mr David Elmore

**6/2017/2386/FULL**

Address The Rookery Cafe Great North Road Hatfield AL9 5SF  
Proposal Change of use of ground floor (A3) into a children's nursery (D1) involving the erection of a single storey rear extension, and conversion of first floor from HMO (C4) to form 2 x 1-bedroom flats (C3)  
Applicant Mr M Filiou PLC c/o Dr. L Capaldo  
Ward Welham Green & Hatfield South  
Agent Mr E Anpitan  
Call-In/Objection from Councillor Paul Zukowskyj, Welwyn Hatfield Borough Council

Reason for Committee Decision 12/11/2017  
The current cafe is, I believe, washed over by the green belt. I'm uncertain whether a change in use such as that proposed would be considered inappropriate development in the green belt, for example.

The change from (I assume) current use classification A3 to D1 is also a significant change. Is, for example, the proposed use of the current car park envisaged to significantly change?

There is also a safety issue in that the road adjacent to the cafe is 40mph not 30mph and the step down from national speed limit is very close indeed, will the entrance be appropriate for the proposed use? Are there other safety concerns that residents might express as the proposed use may well see an increase in use of the entrance?

There are a number of potentially sensitive planning issues that I think need to be properly considered and I feel residents would likely want to see that those concerns had been considered in a public forum.

Hope that explains the request.

Cheers,

Paul

07/11/2017

Since this proposal includes a pretty profound change in use, I'd like to call it in for DMC to determine.

Depending on the specifics of the proposal I may support or object, however I still think the DMC should determine this application.

Cheers,

Paul

Case Officer Ms Louise Sahlke

### 6/2017/2481/HOUSE

Address 1 Russellcroft Road Welwyn Garden City AL8 6QN  
Proposal Erection of part single, part double storey rear extension, installation of 3x roof lights, alterations to openings, raising of roof height and relocation of chimney following demolition of existing conservatory

Applicant Mr G Scannell

Ward Handside

Agent Mr A Hopkins

Call-In/Objection from Councillor Helen Bromley, Welwyn Hatfield Borough Council

Reason for Committee Decision This application raises particularly sensitive planning issues

If officers are minded to approve this application I would like this to go to DMC.

Given the number of bathrooms, 4 en-suite, and 4 bedrooms, from an existing 2 bedroom house, I have serious concerns that this is not going to remain a house, for one couple.

As this is part of one building, semi-detached to two other dwellings, I have concerns that the impact on other residents will be negative, with regards to parking, noise and garden use.

Call-In/Objection from Councillor Fiona Thomson, Welwyn Hatfield Borough Council

Reason for Committee Decision Many thanks for all of this.

I am concerned that this would be an HMO.

4 en suite bedrooms, from a 2 bed house!

The applicant has stated to other residents that it is for him and his wife.

Whilst I know we cannot assume.....there are definitely concerns.

I am away for a couple of weeks.

In order to not worry about timescales I would like to call this application in.

My reasons for this are over development of the existing building and loss of amenity for the occupants of the 2 attached dwellings.

Kind Regards  
Helen

Case Officer Ms Louise Sahlke

#### 6/2017/2513/FULL

Address 33-34 Salisbury Square Hatfield AL9 5AF  
Proposal Conversion of existing ground floor (Class A3) restaurant to form two (2xbed) flats (Class C3) including part demolition and extension at ground and first floor (rear) with associated external alterations.  
Applicant Mr M A Hussain-Hiam  
Ward Hatfield East  
Agent Mr R Morton  
Call-In/Objection from Carrie Lloyd, Hatfield Town Council  
Reason for Committee Decision 23/11/2017 10:18 - Hatfield Town Council Planning Committee wished to make a major objection to this application due to a loss of retail/restaurant unit, lack of parking and not in keeping with the neighbourhood with Old Hatfield  
Case Officer Mrs June Pagdin

#### 6/2017/2687/FULL

Address 13 The Gardens Brookmans Park Hatfield AL9 7UL  
Proposal Erection of a two storey side and rear extension to create a self-contained 4-bed maisonette and the installation of 1 side and 1 rear dormer window to facilitate loft conversion to habitable space  
Applicant Mr & Mrs Leigh  
Ward Brookmans Park & Little Heath  
Agent Mr M Doherty  
Call-In/Objection from Sue Chudleigh, North Mymms Parish Council  
Reason for Committee Decision 4/12/2017 11:42 - North Mymms Parish Council would like to register a MAJOR OBJECTION to this application. The three-storey development is inappropriate, over dominant in the street scene and is overdevelopment of the site. The approach of submitting ongoing planning applications to ultimately build a block of flats for a site should not be permitted.  
Case Officer Mr David Elmore